STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: Belcourt

Date of Administrative Review: 10/9/2019

Date review results were provided to the SFA: 10/9/2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: 10/18/2019

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in?

PROGRAM	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
School Breakfast Program	\boxtimes		
National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes		
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	\boxtimes		
Afterschool Snack	\boxtimes		
Special Milk Program		\boxtimes	

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions?

SPECIAL PROVISION	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
Community Eligibility Provision	\boxtimes		
Special Provision 2/3			

Review Findings

3. Note Worthy Observations:

The district is commended on the staff that has been hired to direct and run the school meal program. Everyone interviewed exceeded the expectations of enthusiasm and care in feeding students during the school day. Students were well fed and heading to class ready to learn. Facilities were well-cared for and efficient.

. Pro	gram	Access and Reimbursement
YES	NO	Area Of Review
		Certification and Benefit Issuance
		Verification Verification
	\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming
Sinding	(s) Deta	witer
	$\frac{(s)}{N/A}$	IIIS.
2)	NT/A	
2)	N/A	
al Pa	tterns	and Nutritional Quality
YES	NO	Area Of Review
		Meal Components and Quantities
		Offer versus Serve
\boxtimes		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
1) 2)	50% o breakf	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich.
1) 2)	7 mea 50% o breakf	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both
1) 2)	7 mea 50% o breakf	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review
1) 2) 1000l N YES	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety
1) 2) 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy
1) 2) 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods
1) 2) ool N YES	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy
1) 2) 20 20 YES	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other
1) 2) nool N YES	7 mea	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other
1) 2) YES Sinding 1)	7 mea 50% o breakf Nutriti NO S S (s) Deta	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both fast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other It is: e monitoring for district was not completed for previous school year.
1) 2) nool N YES	7 mea 50% o breakf Nutriti NO S S (s) Deta	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both ast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other
1) 2) YES Sinding 1)	7 means 50% of breakf	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both fast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other It is: e monitoring for district was not completed for previous school year.
1) 2) nool N YES	7 means 50% of breakf No No Solution S	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both fast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other Itls: e monitoring for district was not completed for previous school year. meal prices are not at the minimum required amount, adult meal prices need to be raised.
2) YES Ginding 1) 2) Finding Cinding Cinding	7 means 50% of breakf	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both fast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other Itls: e monitoring for district was not completed for previous school year. meal prices are not at the minimum required amount, adult meal prices need to be raised.
2) YES Ginding 1) 2) Finding Cinding Cinding	7 means 50% of breakf Nutrition NO Solution (s) Detay On-sitt Adult ghts (s) Detay (s) Detay (s) Detay (s) Detay (s) Detay (s) Detay	Is for lunch did not contain the required ½ C of either fruit or vegetable component. If the grains offered are not whole grain rich. 50% of the grains offered to students both fast and lunch need to be whole grain rich. In Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods Other Itls: The monitoring for district was not completed for previous school year. The meal prices are not at the minimum required amount, adult meal prices need to be raised.